

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,470	10/30/2003	Jae-Hyoung Kim	678-1245	7431	
66547 THE FARREI	7590 06/30/200 LL LAW FIRM, LLP	EXAMINER			
290 Broadhollow Road			TRAN, TUAN A		
Suite 210E Melville, NY	11747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,470	KIM, JAE-HYOUNG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUAN A. TRAN	2618		

	TUAN A. TRAN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			donment of this			
 application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1:					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying to	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-11.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach-	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Tuan A Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2618				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant arhued that cited prior arts fail to disclose limitations recited in independent claims 1 and 7 (See Remark, page 2-3). The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument. In this instant case, the wired phone 12 can be configured to be the master (as the wireless terminal 14 configured to be the slave), and connecting the Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 with a wired network upon receiving the communication request signal from the Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 on the established Bluetooth link, thereby enabling the Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 to wirelessly communicate with the wired network via the wired phone 12 (the wireless communication link between the wireless terminal 14 and the wired phone 12 has to be maintained during the communication session between the wireless terminal 14 and the wired network) (See figs. 1. 3-4 and col. 2 lines 12-30, col. 2 line 60 to col. 3 line 46, col. 4 line 13 to col. 5 line 66). However, Gancarcik does not explicitly mention that the Bluetooth link has been manually established via the user interface (at least a prescribed key being pressed for initiating the inquiry process necessary to establish the Bluetooth link). Since the technique of establishing Bluetooth link manually via user interface (display and keypad) wherein at least a prescribed key being pressed for initiating the inquiry process necessary to establish the Bluetooth link, is known in the art as taught by Hulvey (See fig. 11 and page 5 [0065-0066]); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Hulvey in configuring the communication apparatus disclosed by Gancarcik by setting the Bluetooth link manually via user interface for the advantage of giving the user a higher degree of freedom in dictating when to establish a communication link in order to conserve power. Further, the prescribed key, used to initiate the establishing of the Bluetooth link, discriminates between a short-range wireless communication service (in this instant case, the wired communication service via Bluetooth link) and a regular wireless communication service (i.e. cellular service). For those reasons, the rejections are proper and maintained.